

RECEIV CLERK'S OFFICE

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL STATE OF ILLINOIS MAY 1 6 2008 STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board

.

Lisa Madigan

May 14, 2008

John T. Therriault, Assistant Clerk Illinois Pollution Control Board James R. Thompson Center, Ste. 11-500 100 West Randolph Chicago, Illinois 60601

Re: People v. Cochran and Wilken, Inc. PCB No. 07-32

Dear Clerk:

Enclosed for filing please find the original and ten copies of a Notice of Filing, Motion for Relief from Hearing Requirement and Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement in regard to the above-captioned matter. Please file the originals and return file-stamped copies to me in the enclosed, self-addressed envelope.

Thank you for your cooperation and consideration.

Very truly yours,

Michael D. Mankówski Environmental Bureau 500 South Second Street Springfield, Illinois 62706 (217) 782-9031

MDM/pp Enclosures

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,)	
Complainant,))	
٧.)	PCB No. 07-32
COCHRAN AND WILKEN, INC.,)	(Enforcement - Water)
an Illinois corporation,	ý	
Respondent.)	

NOTICE OF FILING

To: Edward R. Gower Hinshaw & Culbertson LLP 400 South Ninth Street, Suite 200 Springfield, IL 62701

RECEIVED CLEAK'S OFFICE MAY 1 6 2008 STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on this date I mailed for filing with the Clerk of the Pollution

Control Board of the State of Illinois, a MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM HEARING REQUIREMENT

and STIPULATION AND PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT, copies of which are attached hereto

and herewith served upon you.

Respectfully submitted,

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois

MATTHEW J. DUNN, Chief Environmental Enforcement/Asbestos

Litigation Division rmal BY:

MICHAEL D. MANKOWSKI Assistant Attorney General Environmental Bureau

500 South Second Street Springfield, Illinois 62706 217/782-9031 Dated: May 14, 2008

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 1 6 2008

STATE OF ILLINOIS I hereby certify that I did on May 14, 2008, send by First Class Malfowittionstage of Board

fully prepaid, by depositing in a United States Post Office Box a true and correct copy of the

following instruments entitled NOTICE OF FILING, MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM HEARING

REQUIREMENT and STIPULATION AND PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT:

To: Edward R. Gower Hinshaw & Culbertson LLP 400 South Ninth Street, Suite 200 Springfield, IL 62701

and the original and ten copies by First Class Mail with postage thereon fully prepaid of the

same foregoing instrument(s):

To: Dorothy Gunn, Clerk Illinois Pollution Control Board James R. Thompson Center Suite 11-500 100 West Randolph Chicago, Illinois 60601

A copy was also sent by First Class Mail with postage thereon fully prepaid to:

Carol Webb Hearing Officer Illinois Pollution Control Board 1021 North Grand Avenue East Springfield, IL 62794

MICHAEL D. MANKOWSKI Assistant Attorney General

This filing is submitted on recycled paper.

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

Complainant,

٧.

COCHRAN AND WILKEN, INC. an Illinois corporation,

Respondent.

PCB No. 07-32 (Enforcement - Water)

CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 1 6 2008

STATE OF ILLINOIS MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM HEARING REQUIREMENT Board

NOW COMES Complainant, PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, by LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, and pursuant to Section 31(c)(2) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act ("Act"), 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(2) (2006), moves that the Illinois Pollution Control Board grant the parties in the above-captioned matter relief from the hearing requirement imposed by Section 31(c)(1) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(1) (2006). In support of this motion, Complainant states as follows:

1. The parties have reached agreement on all outstanding issues in this matter.

2. This agreement is presented to the Board in a Stipulation and Proposal for

Settlement, filed contemporaneously with this motion.

 All parties agree that a hearing on the Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement is not necessary, and respectfully request relief from such a hearing as allowed by Section 31(c)(2) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(2) (2006). WHEREFORE, Complainant, PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, hereby requests

that the Board grant this motion for relief from the hearing requirement set forth in Section

31(c)(1) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(1) (2006).

. ...;

ł

1.

Respectfully submitted,

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS LISA MADIGAN ATTORNEY GENERAL

MATTHEW J. DUNN, Chief Environmental Enforcement/Asbestos

Litigation Division, achal BY:

MICHAEL D. MANKOWSKI Environmental Bureau Assistant Attorney General

500 South Second Street Springfield, Illinois 62706 217/782-9031 Dated: May 14, 2008

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,)
Complainant,)
v.) PCB No. 07-32
COCHRAN AND WILKEN, INC.,) (Water-Epigeneint)) RECE NTED Int) CLERK'S OFFICE
an Illinois corporation,	MAY 1 6 2008
Respondent.	STATE OF ILLINOIS STATE OF ILLINOIS SAL FORSETTLEMENT
STIPULATION AND PROPOS	SAL FORSETTLEMENT

Complainant, PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, by LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency ("Illinois EPA"), and COCHRAN AND WILKEN, INC., ("Respondent"), have agreed to the making of this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement ("Stipulation") and submit it to the Illinois Pollution Control Board ("Board") for approval. The parties agree that the statement of facts contained herein represents a fair summary of the evidence and testimony which would be introduced by the parties if a hearing were held. The parties further stipulate that this statement of facts is made and agreed upon for purposes of settlement only and that neither the fact that a party has entered into this Stipulation, nor any of the facts stipulated herein, shall be introduced into evidence in any other proceeding regarding the claims asserted in the Complaint except as otherwise provided herein. If the Board approves and enters this Stipulation, Respondent agrees to be bound by the Stipulation and Board Order and not to contest their validity in any subsequent proceeding to implement or enforce their terms.

I. JURISDICTION

The Board has jurisdiction of the subject matter herein and of the parties consenting hereto pursuant to the Illinois Environmental Protection Act ("Act"), 415 ILCS 5/1 et seq. (2006).

II. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned representatives for each party certify that they are fully authorized by the party whom they represent to enter into the terms and conditions of this Stipulation and to legally bind them to it.

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. Parties

1. On November 1, 2006, a Complaint was filed on behalf of the People of the State of Illinois by Lisa Madigan, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, on her own motion and upon the request of the Illinois EPA, pursuant to Section 31 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31 (2006), against the Respondent.

2. The Illinois EPA is an administrative agency of the State of Illinois, created pursuant to Section 4 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/4 (2006).

 At all times relevant to the Complaint, Respondent was and is an Illinois corporation in good standing.

2

B. Site Description

1. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Cochran and Wilken, Inc. was the consulting engineer for the Lake Arlann sediment removal project.

Lake Arlann is a natural lake previously called Lake Meyers, located in Pekin,
Illinois.

 The Lake Arlann sediment removal project utilized a hydraulic dredging process to remove approximately 330,000 cubic yards of accumulated sedimentation and siltation from Lake Arlann.

4. The project required the construction of a confined detention facility ("CDF"),

located across 14th Street from Lake Arlann, in order to store and de-water the sediment

hydraulically dredged and pumped from the lake bottom to the CDF. The CDF was an earthen

lagoon constructed of compacted earthen dikes with approximately 550,000 cubic yards of

capacity. Discharge from the CDF flowed back into Lake Arlann.

C. Allegations of Non-Compliance

Complainant contends that the Respondent has violated the following provisions of the Act and Board regulations:

Count I:	WATER POLLUTION, in violation of Sections 12(a) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/12(a) (2006), and Section 302.203 of the Board's Water Pollution Regulations, 35 Ill. Adm. Code 302.203
Count II:	EFFLUENT VIOLATIONS, in violation of Sections 12(a) & (f) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/12(a) & (f) (2006), and Sections 304.124(a) and 309.102(a) of the Board's Water Pollution Regulations, 35 Ill. Adm. Code 304.124(a) and 309.102(a).

- Count III: SCHEDULE VIOLATIONS, in violation of Sections 12(a) & (f) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/12(a) & (f) (2006), Sections 305.102(b), 309.102(a) and 309.146(a) of the Board's Water Pollution Regulations, 35 Ill. Adm. Code 305.102(b), 309.102(a) and 309.146(a), and Special Condition 1 of Industrial Construction Permit No. 2003-EA-3175
- Count IV: STORM WATER VIOLATIONS, in violation of Sections 12(a) & (f) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/12(a) & (f) (2006), and Sections 305.102(b), 309.102(a) and 309.146(a) of the Board's Water Pollution Regulations, 35 Ill. Adm. Code 305.102(b), 309.102(a) and 309.146(a)

D. Admission or Denial of Violations

The Respondent represents that it has entered into this Stipulation for the purpose of settling and compromising disputed claims without having to incur the expense of contested litigation. By entering into this Stipulation and complying with its terms, the Respondent does not affirmatively admit the allegations of violation within the Complaint or this Stipulation, and this Stipulation shall not be interpreted as including such admission.

E. Compliance Activities to Date

The Lake Arlann sediment removal project has been completed. At end of project, the Respondent recommended control technologies, namely a polyamine flocculent and silt curtain, to reduce the amount of total suspended solids ("TSS") entering Lake Arlann from the CDF. The area of Lake Arlann surrounding the CDF outlet was the last area dredged, removing the majority of sediment that escaped the CDF.

IV. APPLICABILITY

A. This Stipulation shall apply to and be binding upon the Complainant and the Respondent, and any officer, director, agent, or employee of the Respondent, as well as any successors or assigns of the Respondent. The Respondent shall not raise as a defense to any enforcement action taken pursuant to this Stipulation the failure of any of its officers, directors, agents, employees or successors or assigns to take such action as shall be required to comply with the provisions of this Stipulation.

B. No change in ownership, corporate status or operator of the facility shall in any way alter the responsibilities of the Respondent under this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement. In the event of any conveyance of title, easement or other interest in the facility, the Respondent shall continue to be bound by and remain liable for performance of all obligations under this Stipulation.

C. In the event that the Respondent proposes to sell or transfer any real property or operations subject to any Order accepting and adopting the terms of this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, the Respondent shall notify the Complainant 30 days prior to the conveyance of title, ownership or other interest, including a leasehold interest in the facility or a portion thereof. The Respondent shall make the prospective purchaser or successor's compliance with any Order accepting and adopting the terms of this Stipulation a condition of any such sale or transfer and shall provide a copy of this Stipulation and any Order accepting and adopting the terms of this Stipulation and any Order accepting and adopting the terms of this Stipulation and any Order accepting and adopting the terms of this Stipulation and any Order accepting and adopting the terms of this Stipulation and any Order accepting and adopting the terms of this Stipulation and any Order accepting and adopting the terms of this Stipulation and any Order accepting and adopting the terms of this Stipulation to any such successor in interest. This provision does not relieve the Respondent

from compliance with any regulatory requirement regarding notice and transfer of applicable facility permits.

V. COMPLIANCE WITH OTHER LAWS AND REGULATIONS

This Stipulation in no way affects the responsibilities of the Respondent to comply with

any other federal, state or local laws or regulations including, but not limited to, the Act and the

Board regulations, 35 Ill. Adm. Code, Subtitles A through H.

VI. IMPACT ON THE PUBLIC RESULTING FROM ALLEGED NON-COMPLIANCE

Section 33(c) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/33(c) (2006), provides as follows:

- In making its orders and determinations, the Board shall take into consideration all the facts and circumstances bearing upon the reasonableness of the emissions, discharges, or deposits involved including, but not limited to:
 - 1. the character and degree of injury to, or interference with the protection of the health, general welfare and physical property of the people;
 - 2. the social and economic value of the pollution source;
 - 3. the suitability or unsuitability of the pollution source to the area in which it is located, including the question of priority of location in the area involved;
 - 4. the technical practicability and economic reasonableness of reducing or eliminating the emissions, discharges or deposits resulting from such pollution source; and
 - 5. any subsequent compliance.

In response to these factors, the parties state the following:

1. Elevated TSS levels, caused by the project threatened the health of the aquatic life

within Lake Arlann. The extent of the alleged deviation from the requirements of the Act and the alleged violations by the Respondent have a substantial adverse effect on the statutory and regulatory process.

2. The Respondent directed the usage of the flocculent and other compliance measures at the cost of the Lake Arlann Drainage District, but, no economic benefit was accrued by the Respondent in this matter.

Operation of CDF and dredging project was suitable for the area in which it occurred.

4. The use of a flocculent and sufficient stormwater controls at the site was both technically practicable and economically reasonable.

5. Once having been notified of its alleged non-compliance, the Respondent made

substantial efforts to come into compliance with the Act and the Board's regulations.

VII. CONSIDERATION OF SECTION 42(H) FACTORS

Section 42(h) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/42(h) (2006), provides as follows:

In determining the appropriate civil penalty to be imposed under . . . this Section, the Board is authorized to consider any matters of record in mitigation or aggravation of penalty, including but not limited to the following factors:

- 1. the duration and gravity of the violation;
- 2. the presence or absence of due diligence on the part of the respondent in attempting to comply with requirements of this Act and regulations thereunder or to secure relief therefrom as provided by this Act;
- 3. any economic benefits accrued by the respondent because of delay in compliance with requirements, in which case the economic benefits shall be determined by the lowest cost alternative for achieving compliance;

- 4. the amount of monetary penalty which will serve to deter further violations by the respondent and to otherwise aid in enhancing voluntary compliance with this Act by the respondent and other persons similarly subject to the Act;
- 5. the number, proximity in time, and gravity of previously adjudicated violations of this Act by the respondent;
- 6. whether the respondent voluntarily self-disclosed, in accordance with subsection i of this Section, the non-compliance to the Agency; and
- 7. whether the respondent has agreed to undertake a "supplemental environmental project," which means an environmentally beneficial project that a respondent agrees to undertake in settlement of an enforcement action brought under this Act, but which the respondent is not otherwise legally required to perform.

In response to these factors, the parties state as follows:

1. The dredging of Lake Arlann began in April of 2004. Elevated TSS levels were observed by Illinois EPA in the lake as early as April 15, 2004. Insufficient stormwater controls were also observed at this time. Reporting violations were observed throughout the entire project. TSS violations persisted until at least June 2005.

2. The Respondent made substantial efforts to come back into compliance with the Act, Board regulations and applicable federal regulations, once the Illinois EPA notified it of its alleged non-compliance.

3. The Respondent directed the usage of the flocculent and other compliance

measures at the cost of the Lake Arlann Drainage District, but, no economic benefit was accrued by the Respondent in this matter.

4. Complainant has determined, based upon the specific facts of this matter, that a penalty of Twenty Thousand Dollars (\$20,000.00) will serve to deter further violations and aid in future voluntary compliance with the Act and Board regulations.

8

5. A review of Illinois EPA records does not indicate any previous enforcement action taken by the Illinois EPA against Cochran and Wilken, Inc.

6. Cochran and Wilken, Inc. did not voluntarily disclose to the Illinois EPA the violations that are the subject of this case.

7. The settlement of this matter does not include a supplemental environmental project.

VIII. TERMS OF SETTLEMENT

A. Penalty Payment

1. The Respondent shall pay a civil penalty in the sum of Twenty Thousand Dollars (\$ 20,000.00) within thirty (30) days from the date the Board adopts and accepts this Stipulation. The Respondent stipulates that payment has been tendered to Respondent's attorney of record in this matter in a form acceptable to that attorney. Further, Respondent stipulates that said attorney has been directed to make the penalty payment on behalf of Respondent, within thirty (30) days from the date the Board adopts and accepts this Stipulation, in a manner prescribed below. The penalty described in this Stipulation shall be paid by certified check, money order or electronic funds transfer payable to the Illinois EPA, designated to the Illinois Environmental Protection Trust Fund and submitted to:

> Illinois Environmental Protection Agency Fiscal Services Section 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, IL 62794-9276

The name and number of the case and Respondent's Federal Employer Identification Number

9

(FEIN), shall appear on the check. A copy of the certified check, money order or record of electronic funds transfer and any transmittal letter shall be sent to:

Michael D. Mankowski Assistant Attorney General Environmental Bureau 500 South Second Street Springfield, Illinois 62702

2. Pursuant to Section 42(g) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/42(g) (2006), interest shall accrue on any payment not paid within the time period prescribed above at the maximum rate allowable under Section 1003(a) of the Illinois Income Tax Act, 35 ILCS 5/1003 (2006). Interest on any unpaid payment shall begin to accrue from the date the payment is due and continue to accrue until the date payment is received. When partial payment(s) are made, such partial payment shall be first applied to any interest on unpaid payment then due and owing. All interest on payment owed shall be paid by certified check, money order or electronic funds transfer, payable to the Illinois EPA, designated to the Illinois Environmental Protection Trust Fund and delivered to the address and in the manner described above.

3. For purposes of payment and collection, Respondent's attorney may be reached at the following address:

Edward R. Gower Hinshaw & Culbertson LLP 400 South Ninth Street, Suite 200 Springfield, IL 62701

4. For purposes of payment and collection, Respondent may be reached at the following address:

Cochran and Wilken, Inc. 5201 South 6th Street Road Springfield, Illinois 62703

5. In the event of default of this Section VIII.A, the Complainant shall be entitled to all available relief including, but not limited to, reasonable costs of collection and reasonable attorney's fees.

B. Future Use

Notwithstanding any other language in this Stipulation to the contrary, and in consideration of the mutual promises and conditions contained in this Stipulation, including the Release from Liability contained in Section VIII.E, below, the Respondent hereby agrees that this Stipulation may be used against the Respondent in any subsequent enforcement action or permit proceeding as proof of a past adjudication of violation of the Act and the Board Regulations promulgated thereunder for all violations alleged in the Complaint in this matter, for purposes of Section 39(a) and (i) and/or 42(h) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/39(a) and(i) and/or 5/42(h)(2006). Further, Respondent agrees to waive any rights to contest, in any subsequent enforcement action or permit proceeding, any allegations that these alleged violations were adjudicated.

C. Cease and Desist

The Respondent shall cease and desist from future violations of the Act and Board Regulations that were the subject matter of the Complaint as outlined in Section III.C ("Allegations of Non-Compliance") of this Stipulation.

D. Release from Liability

In consideration of the Respondent's payment of the Twenty Thousand Dollar (\$20,000.00) penalty and any specified costs and accrued interest, completion of all activities required hereunder, to Cease and Desist as contained in Section VIII.C and upon the Pollution Control Board's acceptance and approval of the terms of this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, the Complainant releases, waives and discharges the Respondent from any further liability or penalties for violations of the Act and Board Regulations that were the subject matter of the Complaint herein. The release set forth above does not extend to any matters other than those expressly specified in Complainant's Complaint filed on November 1, 2006. The Complainant reserves, and this Stipulation is without prejudice to, all rights of the State of Illinois against the Respondent with respect to all other matters, including but not limited to, the following:

a. criminal liability;

b. liability for future violation of state, federal, local, and common laws and/or regulations;

c. liability for natural resources damage arising out of the alleged violations; and
d. liability or claims based on the Respondent's failure to satisfy the requirements of this Stipulation.

Nothing in this Stipulation is intended as a waiver, discharge, release, or covenant not to sue for any claim or cause of action, administrative or judicial, civil or criminal, past or future, in

12

law or in equity, which the State of Illinois or the Illinois EPA may have against any person, as defined by Section 3.315 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/3.315 (2006), or entity other than the Respondent.

E. Modification of Stipulation

The parties may, by mutual written consent, agree to extend any compliance dates or modify the terms of this Stipulation. A request for any modification shall be made in writing and submitted to the contact persons identified in Section VIII.A. Any such request shall be made by separate document, and shall not be submitted within any other report or submittal required by this Stipulation. Any such agreed modification shall be in writing, signed by authorized representatives of each party, and then accompany a joint motion to the Illinois Pollution Control Board seeking a modification of the prior order approving and accepting the Stipulation to approve and accept the Stipulation as amended.

F. Enforcement of Board Order

 Upon the entry of the Board's Order approving and accepting this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, that Order is a binding and enforceable order of the Illinois Pollution
Control Board and may be enforced as such through any and all available means.

2. Respondent agrees that notice of any subsequent proceeding to enforce the Board Order approving and accepting this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement may be made by mail and waives any requirement of service of process.

3. The parties agree that, if the Board does not approve and accept this Stipulation

and Proposal for Settlement, then neither party is bound by the terms herein.

4. It is the intent of the Complainant and Respondent that the provisions of this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement and any Board Order accepting and approving such shall be severable, and should any provision be declared by a court of competent jurisdiction to be inconsistent with state or federal law, and therefore unenforceable, the remaining clauses shall remain in full force and effect. WHEREFORE, Complainant and Respondent request that the Board adopt and accept the

DATE:

foregoing Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement as written.

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

LISA MADIGAN Attorney General State of Illinois

MATTHEW J. DUNN, Chief Environmental Enforcement/ Asbestos Litigation Division

THOMAS DAVIS, Chief Environmental Bureau Assistant Attorney General

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

BY:

BY:

en

DATE: 5/2/08

5/14/08

ROBERT A. MESSINA Chief Legal Counsel

FOR THE RESPONDENT:

COCHRAN AND WILKEN, INC.

Jan Will BY: Gary Wilker Name:__ vesiden' Title:

29/07 1 DATE:____

, ,